

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/049,230	06/10/2002	Manfred Dilger	225MU/50870	9509	
23911	7590 01/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			PATEL, VISHAL A		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300		OP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20044-4300		3676		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/200	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/049,230	DILGER ET AL.				
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishal Patel	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applic atimely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-15, 20-23, 25-28, 30-32, 35-38 a</u>	nd 42.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
10. Other:						
	HF	ATHER SHACKELFORD				
	SUPERV	ISORY PATENT EXAMINER INOLOGY CENTER 3600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argues that Aksit does not disclose bristles that have a diameter of less than one-tenth of a millemeter is not persuasive because as indicated in column 3, lines 28, the bristles have a diameter of less than 0.001in which is equal to .0254mm or an examplary diameter of 0.00056 inch, which equal to 0.014224mm. Furthermore applicants' argument that Aksit stating that using samll-diameter aramid filament would be virtually impossible to handle and secure individual small-diameter aramid filaments to a bristle holder is not persuasive, since basing something is obvious would require that it is used in prior art or know to one skilled in the art.